arXiv:math/0508483v1 [math.CV] 25 Aug 2005

A DIFFERENT EXPRESSION OF THE WEIL-PETERSSON POTENTIAL ON THE QUASI-FUCHSIAN DEFORMATION SPACE

LEE-PENG TEO

Abstract. We extend a definition of the Weil-Petersson potential on the universal Teichmüller space to the quasi-Fuchsian deformation space. We prove that up to a constant, this function coincides with the Weil-Petersson potential on the quasi-Fuchsian deformation space. As a result, we prove a lower bound for the potential on the quasi-Fuchsian deformation space.

1. Introduction

In [TT03b], we defined a Hilbert manifold structure on the universal Teichmüller space $T(1)$. Under this structure, $T(1)$ is a disjoint union of uncountably many components. We denoted by $T_0(1)$ the component that contains the identity element. It can be characterized as the completion of the space $\text{Möb}(S^1)\backslash\,\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$ under the Weil-Petersson metric. Hence it is the largest submanifold of $T(1)$ where the Weil-Petersson metric can be defined. The Weil-Petersson metric on $\text{Möb}(S^1)\backslash\,\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$, introduced by Kirillov [Kir87] via the orbit method, has been of interest to both mathematicians and physicists. It is a right-invariant Kähler metric, and hence it may play some role in the canonical quantization of the space $\text{Möb}(S^1)\backslash\,\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$.

In our subsequent work [TT04], we defined a Weil-Petersson potential on $T_0(1)$ in two different ways, and showed that they are equal. The first definition of the Weil-Petersson potential is given by $\mathsf{S}_1 : T_0(1) \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathsf{S}_1([\mu]) = \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} \left|\frac{(\mathrm{f}^\mu)''}{(\mathrm{f}^\mu)'}\right|^2 d^2z + \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}^*} \left|\frac{\mathrm{g}_\mu''}{\mathrm{g}_\mu'}\right|^2 d^2z - 4\pi \log|\mathrm{g}_\mu'(\infty)|,$$

where $w_\mu = \mathrm{g}_\mu^{-1}\circ \mathrm{f}^\mu$ is the conformal welding corresponding to $[\mu] \in T_0(1)$. The second definition of the Weil-Petersson potential comes from the study of the Grunsky operator K_1 of the univalent function f^μ associated to a point $[\mu] \in T(1)$. We proved that the Grunsky operator associated to $[\mu]$ is Hilbert-Schmidt if and only if $[\mu] \in T_0(1)$. Hence the function $\mathsf{S}_2 : T_0(1) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\mathsf{S}_2([\mu]) = \log\det(I - K_1K_1^*)$$

Date: February 2, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32G15, 30F60, 30F10.

Key words and phrases. Potential, Teichmüller space, quasi-Fuchsian.

is well-defined. We proved that

$$\mathsf{S}_2 = -\frac{1}{12\pi}\mathsf{S}_1.$$

We call S_1 the universal Liouville action in our paper [TT04]. In fact, given Γ a cocompact quasi-Fuchsian group, the quasi-Fuchsian deformation space $\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma)$ can be canonically mapped into the universal Teichmüller space, with the totally real submanifold — the space of Fuchsian groups — mapped to the point identity. The function S_1 bears a lot of resemblance to the critical value of the Liuoville action functional S_{cl} we constructed in [TT03a]. However, we haven't established the precise relation between them. In this paper, we are going to extend the definition of the function S_2 to the quasi-Fuchsian deformation space of a quasi-Fuchsian group Γ, and prove that up to constants, it coincides with the critical Liouville action S_{cl}. As a result, we show that (see Corollary 4.4)

$$S_{cl}([\mu]) \leq 8\pi(2g-2),$$

with equality appears if and only if $[\mu]$ corresponds to a Fuchsian group.

It is our intention to keep this paper concise. Hence we will not repeat the background material and conventions. We refer them to our previous papers [TT03a, TT03b, TT04].

2. DEFINITION OF THE FUNCTION S_2 ON QUASI-FUCHSIAN DEFORMATION SPACE

2.1. The quasi-Fuchsian deformation space $\mathfrak{D}_g$.

2.1.1. *A model of* $\mathfrak{D}_g$. We fix a model for the quasi-Fuchsian deformation space of genus $g \geq 2$ in the following way. Let $\Gamma \in \mathrm{PSU}(1,1)$ be a normalized cocompact Fuchsian group of genus g. Let $\mathcal{A}^{-1,1}(\Gamma)$ be the space of bounded Beltrami differentials for Γ and $\mathcal{B}^{-1,1}(\Gamma)$ the unit ball of $\mathcal{A}^{-1,1}(\Gamma)$ with respect to the sup-norm. For each $\mu \in \mathcal{B}^{-1,1}(\Gamma)$, there exists a unique quasi-conformal (q.c.) mapping $w_\mu : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying the Beltrami equation

$$(w_\mu)_{\bar{z}} = \mu (w_\mu)_z$$

and fixing the points $-1, -i, 1$. The quasi-Fuchsian deformation space of the Fuchsian group Γ is defined as

$$\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{B}^{-1,1}(\Gamma)/\sim,$$

where $\mu \sim \nu$ if and only if $w_\mu\big|_{S^1} = w_\nu\big|_{S^1}$.

Given $[\mu] \in \mathcal{B}^{-1,1}(\Gamma)$, let $\Gamma^\mu = w_\mu \circ \Gamma \circ w_\mu^{-1}$. By definition, Γ^μ is a normalized quasi-Fuchsian group and it is a Fuchsian group if and only if μ is symmetric, i.e.

$$\mu(z) = \overline{\mu\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}\frac{z^2}{\bar{z}^2}.$$

There is a canonical isomorphism $\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathfrak{D}(\Gamma^\mu)$ given by $[\nu] \mapsto [\lambda]$, where λ is the Beltrami differential of $w_\nu \circ w_\mu^{-1}$. We define $\mathfrak{D}_g$, the quasi-Fuchsian deformation space of genus g to be the space $\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma)$, where Γ is a Fuchsian group of genus g[1]. It is unique up to isomorphism.

Given $[\mu] \in \mathfrak{D}(\Gamma)$, let $\Omega_1 = w_\mu(\mathbb{D})$ and $\Omega_2 = w_\mu(\mathbb{D}^*)$. Then $\Omega = \Omega_1 \sqcup \Omega_2$ is the domain of discontinuity of Γ^μ acting on $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathcal{C} = w_\mu(S^1)$ is the quasi-circle separating the domains Ω_1 and Ω_2. There exists a unique q.c. mapping $f : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $f|_{\mathbb{D}}$ is holomorphic, f fixes the points $-1, -i, 1$ and $f(\mathbb{D}) = \Omega_1$. Similarly, there exists a unique q.c. mapping $g : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $g|_{\mathbb{D}^*}$ is holomorphic, g fixes the points $-1, -i, 1$ and $g(\mathbb{D}^*) = \Omega_2$. By abusing notation, we also denote by f and g the univalent functions $f\big|_{\mathbb{D}}$ and $g\big|_{\mathbb{D}^*}$. We say that (f, g) is the pair of univalent functions associated to the point $[\mu] \in \mathfrak{D}_g$. In fact, (f, g) is independent of the choice of the model $\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma)$ for $\mathfrak{D}_g$. It only depends on the quasi-Fuchsian group Γ^μ. In case Γ^μ is a Fuchsian group, $\Omega_1 = \mathbb{D}$, $\Omega_2 = \mathbb{D}^*$ and hence $f = \mathrm{id}$ and $g = \mathrm{id}$. Using the biholomorphisms f and g, we define the pair of Fuchsian groups (Γ_1, Γ_2) associated to Γ^μ by $\Gamma_1 = f^{-1} \circ \Gamma^\mu \circ f$ and $\Gamma_2 = g^{-1} \circ \Gamma^\mu \circ g$.

Given $[\nu] \in \mathfrak{D}_g$, let $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_d$ be a basis of $\Omega^{-1,1}(\Gamma^\nu)$. The Bers coordinates at the point $[\nu]$ is defined by the correspondence $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_d) \mapsto \Gamma^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = w_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \circ \Gamma^\nu \circ w_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{-1}$, where $w_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is the unique q.c. mapping with Beltrami differential $\varepsilon_1\mu_1 + \ldots \varepsilon_d\mu_d$ and fixing the points $-1, -i, 1$.

2.1.2. *The tangent and cotangent space of* $\mathfrak{D}_g$. The holomorphic tangent space at the point $[\nu] \in \mathfrak{D}_g$ is isomorphic to the space of harmonic Beltrami differentials $\Omega^{-1,1}(\Gamma^\nu)$ of Γ^ν. The holomorphic cotangent space at the point $[\mu] \in \mathfrak{D}_g$ is isomorphic to the vector space $\Omega^{2,0}(\Gamma^\mu)$ of holomorphic quadratic differentials of Γ^μ.

Given $\mu \in \Omega^{-1,1}(\Gamma^\nu)$, we denote by $\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_\mu}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\varepsilon}_\mu}$ the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic vector fields in a neighbourhood of $[\nu]$ defined using the Bers coordinates at the point $[\nu]$.

2.1.3. *The embedding* $T_g \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{D}_g$ *and the map* $\mathfrak{D}_g \to T(1)$. Let T_g be the Teichmüller space of genus g and $T(1)$ the universal Teichmüller space. T_g can be realized as a complex submanifold of the quasi-Fuchsian deformation space $\mathfrak{D}_g = \mathfrak{D}(\Gamma)$. Namely

$$T_g = T(\Gamma) = \left\{ [\mu] \in \mathfrak{D}(\Gamma) \ : \ \mu(z) = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{D} \cup S^1. \right\}.$$

We denote by $i : T_g \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{D}_g$ the canonical inclusion map.

To define the map $\Xi : \mathfrak{D}(\Gamma) \to T(1)$, we use the following model for $T(1)$.

$$T(1) = L^\infty(\mathbb{D}^*)_1 / \sim,$$

where given $\mu \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D}^*)_1$, a Beltrami differential on $\mathbb{D}^*$ with sup-norm less than 1, we extend μ to be zero outside $\mathbb{D}^*$ and let $w^\mu : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ to be the unique q.c. mapping with Beltrami differential μ and fixing the points

---

[1] We can let Γ to be any quasi-Fuchsian group of genus g. But for the description of some properties of $\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma)$ in terms of equivalence classes of Beltrami differentials, it will be convenient to assume that Γ is a Fuchsian group.

$-1,-i,1$. $\mu\sim\nu$ if and only if $w^{\mu}\big|_{\mathbb{D}}=w^{\nu}\big|_{\mathbb{D}}$. Given $[\mu]\in\mathfrak{D}_g$, let (f,g) be the pair of univalent functions associated to $[\mu]$. Extend f and g to be q.c. mappings. The map $\Xi:\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma)\to T(1)$ is defined so that $\Xi([\mu])$ is the equivalence class of the Beltrami differential of $g\big|_{\mathbb{D}^*}$. It is independent of the choice of the representative μ of $[\mu]$. It is not a one-to-one mapping. In particular, the subspace of Fuchsian groups in $\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma)$ is mapped to the point $[0]\in T(1)$. If (f,g) is the pair of univalent functions associated to $[\mu]\in\mathfrak{D}_g$, there exists a linear fractional transformation $\lambda\in\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ such that the functions $\mathrm{f}=\lambda\circ f$ and $\mathrm{g}=\lambda\circ g$ satisfies

$$\mathrm{f}(0)=0,\qquad \mathrm{f}'(0)=1,\qquad \mathrm{g}(\infty)=\infty.$$

The pair of functions (f,g) is then the functions in the conformal welding $w=\mathrm{g}^{-1}\circ\mathrm{f}$ associated to $\Xi([\mu])\in T(1)$.

Obviously, we also have a canonical complex analytic embedding $\tilde{i}:T_g\hookrightarrow T(1)$. It factors as $\tilde{i}=\Xi\circ i$.

2.1.4. *The inversion on $\mathfrak{D}_g$.* There is an inversion map $\mathfrak{I}:\mathfrak{D}_g\to\mathfrak{D}_g$ on the quasi-Fuchsian deformation space induced by the inversion $\iota:\hat{\mathbb{C}}\to\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, $z\mapsto 1/\bar{z}$. It is defined in the obvious way:

$$\mathfrak{I}([\mu])=[\iota^*\mu],\qquad \text{for } [\mu]\in\mathfrak{D}_g,$$

where

$$\iota^*\mu(z)=\overline{\mu\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)\frac{z^2}{\bar{z}^2}}.$$

The space of Fuchsian groups is the set of fixed points of this map[2]. By uniqueness of q.c. mappings, it is easy to see that

(2.1)

$$w_{\iota^*\mu}(z)=\frac{1}{\overline{w_\mu(1/\bar{z})}},\qquad f[\iota^*\mu](z)=\frac{1}{\overline{g[\mu](1/\bar{z})}},\qquad g[\iota^*\mu](z)=\frac{1}{\overline{f[\mu](1/\bar{z})}}.$$

The relations between the quasi-Fuchsian groups and associated Fuchsian groups are given by

$$\Gamma^{\iota^*\mu}=\iota\circ\Gamma^{\mu}\circ\iota\qquad \Gamma_1[\iota^*\mu]=\iota\circ\Gamma_2[\mu]\circ\iota,\qquad \Gamma_2[\iota^*\mu]=\iota\circ\Gamma_1[\mu]\circ\iota.$$

2.2. **The function S_2.**

2.2.1. *Integral operators associated to (f,g).* Let

$$A_2^1(\mathbb{D})=\left\{\psi \text{ holomorphic on } \mathbb{D}:\ \|\psi\|_2^2=\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}|\psi(z)|^2\,d^2z<\infty\right\},$$

$$A_2^1(\mathbb{D}^*)=\left\{\psi \text{ holomorphic on } \mathbb{D}^*:\ \|\psi\|_2^2=\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}^*}|\psi(z)|^2\,d^2z<\infty\right\}$$

---

[2] This is only true when we use a Fuchsian group Γ for the model $\mathfrak{D}_g=\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma)$.

be Hilbert spaces of holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{D}$ and $\mathbb{D}^*$ and denote by $\overline{A_2^1(\mathbb{D})}$ and $\overline{A_2^1(\mathbb{D}^*)}$ the corresponding Hilbert-spaces of anti-holomorphic functions. Given a pair $f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$, $g : \mathbb{D}^* \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ of univalent functions such that $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (f(\mathbb{D}) \cup g(\mathbb{D}^*))$ has measure zero , define the kernel functions

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1(z,w) &= \frac{1}{\pi}\left(\frac{1}{(z-w)^2} - \frac{f'(z)f'(w)}{(f(z)-f(w))^2}\right),\\
K_2(z,w) &= \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{f'(z)g'(w)}{(f(z)-g(w))^2},\\
K_3(z,w) &= \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{g'(z)f'(w)}{(g(z)-f(w))^2},\\
K_4(z,w) &= \frac{1}{\pi}\left(\frac{1}{(z-w)^2} - \frac{g'(z)g'(w)}{(g(z)-g(w))^2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

They define linear operators K_l, $l = 1, 2, 3, 4$ as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
&K_1 : \overline{A_2^1(\mathbb{D})} \to A_2^1(\mathbb{D}), && (K_1\psi)(z) = \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} K_1(z,w)\overline{\psi(w)}d^2w,\\
&K_2 : \overline{A_2^1(\mathbb{D}^*)} \to A_2^1(\mathbb{D}), && (K_2\psi)(z) = \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}^*} K_2(z,w)\overline{\psi(w)}d^2w,\\
&K_3 : \overline{A_2^1(\mathbb{D})} \to A_2^1(\mathbb{D}^*), && (K_3\psi)(z) = \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} K_3(z,w)\overline{\psi(w)}d^2w,\\
&K_4 : \overline{A_2^1(\mathbb{D}^*)} \to A_2^1(\mathbb{D}^*), && (K_4\psi)(z) = \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}^*} K_4(z,w)\overline{\psi(w)}d^2w.
\end{aligned}$$

The generalized Grunsky equality says that these operators satisfy the following relations (see e.g., [TT04]):

$$\begin{aligned}
&K_1K_1^* + K_2K_2^* = I, && K_3K_1^* + K_4K_2^* = 0,\\
&K_1K_3^* + K_2K_4^* = 0, && K_3K_3^* + K_4K_4^* = I.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

K_2, K_3 are invertible operators and K_1, K_4 are operators of norm strictly less than one.

Remark 2.1. Our definition of the operators K_l here can be viewed as the 'pull–back' of the corresponding definition on $T(1)$ via the map $\Xi : \mathfrak{D}_g \to T(1)$.

Remark 2.2. If ϕ is a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{D}$, the principal–valued integral

$$\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\overline{\phi(w)}}{(z-w)^2}d^2w$$

vanishes identically. Hence we can also represent operators K_1 and K_4 by the singular kernels

$$-\frac{1}{\pi}\frac{f'(z)f'(w)}{(f(z)-f(w))^2} \quad \text{and} \quad -\frac{1}{\pi}\frac{g'(z)g'(w)}{(g(z)-g(w))^2}.$$

2.2.2. *The definition of S_2.* Let $\mathsf{K}_l = K_l K_l^*$, $l = 1,2,3,4$. In [TT04], we define the function $\mathsf{S}_2 : T_0(1) \to \mathbb{R}$, which up to a multiplicative constant is a Weil-Petersson potential on $T_0(1)$, by

$$\mathsf{S}_2 = \log\det(I-\mathsf{K}_1) = \log\det(I-\mathsf{K}_4).$$

This definition cannot be extended to $\mathfrak{D}_g$ since if K_1 and K_4 are defined using the pair (f,g) associated to a point on $\mathfrak{D}_g$, they are not trace-class operators unless $\mathsf{K}_1 = \mathsf{K}_4 = 0$ (see the proof in [TT04]), which corresponds to the case Γ^μ is a Fuchsian group. Nevertheless, motivated by the series expansion

$$\log\det(I-K) = -\mathrm{Tr}\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{K^n}{n}\right)$$

valid when the operator K has norm less than 1, we want to consider the following operators

$$\mathfrak{O}_1 = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\mathsf{K}_1^n}{n} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathfrak{O}_2 = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\mathsf{K}_4^n}{n}.$$

Lemma 2.3. *The operators $\mathfrak{O}_1$ and $\mathfrak{O}_2$ are well-defined operators with kernels*

$$\mathfrak{O}_1(z,w) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\mathcal{K}_{1,n}(z,w)}{n} \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \mathfrak{O}_2(z,w) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\mathcal{K}_{4,n}(z,w)}{n},$$

which converge absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}\times\mathbb{D}$ and $\mathbb{D}^*\times\mathbb{D}^*$ respectively. Here $\mathcal{K}_{i,n}(z,w)$, $i=1,4$ is the kernel of the operator K_i^n.

Proof. It is sufficient to consider the operator $\mathfrak{O}_1$. First, we notice that for $n \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}_{1,n}(z,w) &= \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} K_1(z,\zeta)\Big((K_1^*K_1)^{n-1}\Big)(\zeta,\eta)K_1^*(\eta,w)d^2\zeta d^2\eta \\ &= \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} \Big((K_1K_1^*)^{n-1}\Big)(\eta,\zeta)K_1(\zeta,z)\overline{K_1(\eta,w)}d^2\zeta d^2\eta \\ &= \left\langle \mathsf{K}_1^{n-1}v_z, v_w\right\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Here we denote by $\langle\ .\ ,\ .\ \rangle$ the inner product on the Hilbert space $A_2^1(\mathbb{D})$, and v_z is the holomorphic function

$$v_z(\zeta) = K_1(z,\zeta)$$

with norm

$$\|v_z\|_2^2 = \mathsf{K}_1(z,z).$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$|\mathcal{K}_{1,n}(z,w)| \leq \|\mathsf{K}_1^{n-1}v_z\|_2\|v_w\|_2 \leq \|\mathsf{K}_1\|_\infty^{n-1}\|v_z\|_2\|v_w\|_2.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \left|\frac{\mathcal{K}_{1,n}(z,w)}{n}\right| \leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\|\mathsf{K}_1\|_\infty^{n-1}}{n}\right)\|v_z\|_2\|v_w\|_2$$

which converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}\times\mathbb{D}$ since $\|\mathsf{K}_1\|_\infty < 1$ and

$$\|v_z\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{\pi(1-|z|^2)^2}.$$

(see [TT04]). In fact, as a function of w,

$$\|\mathcal{K}_{1,n}(z,w)\|_2^2 = \mathcal{K}_{1,2n}(z,z) \leq \|\mathsf{K}_1\|_\infty^{2n-1}\|v_z\|_2^2,$$

which implies that as a function of w,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\sum_{n=1}^k \frac{\mathcal{K}_{1,n}(z,w)}{n} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\mathcal{K}_{1,n}(z,w)}{n}$$

in $A_2^1(\mathbb{D})$. Hence it follows that if $\psi \in A_2^1(\mathbb{D})$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\mathfrak{O}_1\bar{\psi}\right)(z) &= \lim_{k\to\infty}\sum_{n=1}^k \frac{(\mathsf{K}_1^n\bar{\psi})(z)}{n} = \lim_{k\to\infty}\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\sum_{n=1}^k \frac{\mathcal{K}_{1,n}(z,w)}{n}\right)\overline{\psi(w)}d^2w\\ &= \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\mathcal{K}_{1,n}(z,w)}{n}\right)\overline{\psi(w)}d^2w,\end{aligned}$$

which proves that $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n}\mathcal{K}_{1,n}(z,w)$ is the kernel for $\mathfrak{O}_1$.

□

Corollary 2.4. *Let* (f,g) *and* (Γ_1,Γ_2) *be the pairs of univalent functions and Fuchsian groups associated to a point on* $\mathfrak{D}_g$. *The functions* $\mathfrak{O}_1(z,z)$ *and* $\mathfrak{O}_2(z,z)$ *defined using* (f,g) *are nonnegative real valued continuous functions on* $\mathbb{D}$ *and* $\mathbb{D}^*$ *that are automorphic* $(1,1)$ *forms with respect to* Γ_1 *and* Γ_2 *respectively.*

Proof. Again, it suffices to consider $\mathfrak{O}_1$. It follows from the proof of the previous lemma that

$$\mathfrak{O}_1(z,z) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\mathcal{K}_{1,n}(z,z)}{n}$$

converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$. Hence it is continuous. Moreover, since K_1 is a positive self-adjoint operator,

$$\mathcal{K}_{1,n}(z,z) = \left\langle \mathsf{K}_1^{n-1}v_z, v_z\right\rangle \geq 0$$

for all n. Hence $\mathfrak{O}_1(z,z)\geq 0$. Now for every $\gamma\in\Gamma_1$, there exists $\tilde{\gamma}\in\Gamma^{\mu}$ such that

$$f\circ\gamma=\tilde{\gamma}\circ f.$$

Hence it is easy to check from the definition of $K_1(z,w)$ that

$$K_1(\gamma z,\gamma w)\gamma'(z)\gamma'(w)=K_1(z,w)\qquad\forall\gamma\in\Gamma_1$$

and consequently

$$\mathcal{K}_{1,n}(\gamma z,\gamma w)\gamma'(z)\overline{\gamma'(w)}=\mathcal{K}_{1,n}(z,w)\qquad\forall\gamma\in\Gamma_1.$$

Therefore, $\mathfrak{O}_1(z,z)$ is an automorphic $(1,1)$-form with respect to Γ_1. □

Now we can define the function $S_2:\mathfrak{D}_g\to\mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2.5. The function $S_2:\mathfrak{D}_g\to\mathbb{R}$ is defined as follows:

$$S_2([\mu])=\iint\limits_{\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}}\mathfrak{O}_1(z,z)d^2z=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n}\iint\limits_{\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}}\mathcal{K}_{1,n}(z,z)d^2z,$$

where $\mathfrak{O}_1(z,w)$ is defined using the univalent function f associated to $[\mu]$.

Remark 2.6. The function S_2 can be considered as the regularized trace of the operator $-\log\det(I-\mathsf{K}_1)$ on $A_2^1(\mathbb{D})$.

2.2.3. *Behavior of S_2 under inversion.* The relations (2.1) give us the following relations for the kernels K_l associated to $[\mu]$ and $[\iota^*\mu]$ on $\mathfrak{D}_g$:

$$K_l[\iota^*\mu](z,w)=\overline{K_{4-l}[\mu]\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}},\frac{1}{\bar{w}}\right)}\frac{1}{z^2}\frac{1}{w^2},\qquad l=1,2,3,4.$$

In particular,

$$\mathfrak{O}_4[\mu](z,z)=\mathfrak{O}_1[\iota^*\mu]\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}},\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)\frac{1}{|z|^4}.$$

Using this, we have the following result.

Proposition 2.7. *The function S_2 is invariant under inversion, i.e. $S_2\circ\mathfrak{I}=S_2$.*

Proof. Given a point $[\mu]$ on $\mathfrak{D}_g$ with the associated univalent functions (f,g) and Fuchsian groups (Γ_1,Γ_2), we are going to prove that

$$\iint\limits_{\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}}\mathcal{K}_{1,n}(z,z)d^2z=\iint\limits_{\Gamma_2\backslash\mathbb{D}^*}\mathcal{K}_{4,n}(z,z)d^2z$$

for all n. From the relations (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}(2.3)\qquad \mathsf{K}_1(z,w)+\mathsf{K}_2(z,w)&=I_1(z,w)=\frac{1}{\pi(1-z\bar{w})^2},&&z,w\in\mathbb{D};\\ \mathsf{K}_3(z,w)+\mathsf{K}_4(z,w)&=I_2(z,w)=\frac{1}{\pi(1-z\bar{w})^2},&&z,w\in\mathbb{D}^*;\end{aligned}$$

where I_1 and I_2 are the identity operators on $A_2^1(\mathbb{D})$ and $A_2^1(\mathbb{D}^*)$ respectively. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}_{1,n}(z,w) =&\Big((I_1-\mathsf{K}_2)^n\Big)(z,w)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}\left(\mathsf{K}_2^k\right)(z,w),\\ \mathcal{K}_{4,n}(z,w) =&\Big((I_2-\mathsf{K}_3)^n\Big)(z,w)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}\left(\mathsf{K}_3^k\right)(z,w).\end{aligned}$$

Now for $k\geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\iint\limits_{\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}}\left(\mathsf{K}_2^k\right)(z,z)d^2z=\iint\limits_{\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}}\ \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}^*}K_2(z,\zeta)\left((K_2^*K_2)^{k-1}K_2^*\right)(\zeta,z)d^2\zeta d^2z\\ &=\sum_{\gamma_2\in\Gamma_2}\ \iint\limits_{\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}}\ \iint\limits_{\Gamma_2\backslash\mathbb{D}^*}K_2(z,\gamma_2\zeta)\left((K_2^*K_2)^{k-1}K_2^*\right)(\gamma_2\zeta,z)|\gamma_2'(\zeta)|^2d^2\zeta d^2z.\end{aligned}$$

For every $\gamma_2\in\Gamma_2$, there exists $\gamma\in\Gamma^\mu$ such that

$$\gamma\circ g=g\circ\gamma_2$$

and $\gamma_1\in\Gamma_1$ such that

$$\gamma\circ f=f\circ\gamma_1.$$

Hence

$$K_2(\gamma_1z,\gamma_2\zeta)\gamma_1'(z)\gamma_2'(\zeta)=K_2(z,\zeta)$$

whenever the pair of elements $\gamma_1\in\Gamma_1,\gamma_2\in\Gamma_2$ are associated to the same element $\gamma\in\Gamma$. Then it is easy to show that

$$\left((K_2^*K_2)^{k-1}K_2^*\right)(\gamma_2\zeta,z)\overline{\gamma_2'(\zeta)}=\left((K_2^*K_2)^{k-1}K_2^*\right)(\zeta,\gamma_1^{-1}z)\overline{(\gamma_1^{-1})'(z)}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}&\iint\limits_{\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}}\left(\mathsf{K}_2^k\right)(z,z)d^2z\\ &\sum_{\gamma_1\in\Gamma_1}\ \iint\limits_{\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}}\ \iint\limits_{\Gamma_2\backslash\mathbb{D}^*}K_2(\gamma_1^{-1}z,\zeta)\left((K_2^*K_2)^{k-1}K_2^*\right)(\zeta,\gamma_1^{-1}z)\left|(\gamma_1^{-1})'(z)\right|^2d^2\zeta d^2z\\ &=\iint\limits_{\Gamma_2\backslash\mathbb{D}^*}\ \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}K_2(z,\zeta)\left((K_2^*K_2)^{k-1}K_2^*\right)(\zeta,z)d^2zd^2\zeta\\ &=\iint\limits_{\Gamma_2\backslash\mathbb{D}^*}\ \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}K_3(\zeta,z)\left((K_3^*K_3)^{k-1}K_3^*\right)(z,\zeta)d^2zd^2\zeta=\iint\limits_{\Gamma_2\backslash\mathbb{D}^*}\left(\mathsf{K}_3^k\right)(z,z)d^2z.\end{aligned}$$

We have used the equality $K_2(z,w) = K_3(w,z) \forall z \in \mathbb{D}, w \in \mathbb{D}^*$ in the last line. Finally, from (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\iint\limits_{\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}} \mathcal{K}_{1,n}(z,z)d^2z =& \frac{1}{4\pi}\text{Area}(\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}) + \sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}\iint\limits_{\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}}\left(\mathsf{K}_2^k\right)(z,z)d^2z\\ =&\frac{1}{4\pi}\text{Area}(\Gamma_2\backslash\mathbb{D}^*) + \sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}\iint\limits_{\Gamma_2\backslash\mathbb{D}^*}\left(\mathsf{K}_3^k\right)(z,z)d^2z\\ =&\iint\limits_{\Gamma_2\backslash\mathbb{D}^*}\mathcal{K}_{4,n}(z,z)d^2z.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the definition that

$$\iint\limits_{\Gamma_1[\mu]\backslash\mathbb{D}} \mathfrak{D}_1[\mu](z,z)d^2z = \iint\limits_{\Gamma_2[\mu]\backslash\mathbb{D}^*} \mathfrak{D}_4[\mu](z,z)d^2z = \iint\limits_{\Gamma_1[\iota^*\mu]\backslash\mathbb{D}} \mathfrak{D}_1[\iota^*\mu](z,z)d^2z.$$

□

3. THE FIRST VARIATION OF THE FUNCTION S_2

Given $\mu \in \Omega^{-1,1}(\Gamma^\nu)$ a tangent vector at the point $[\nu]$, we define

$$\mu_1 = f^*(\mu|_{\Omega_1}) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mu_2 = g^*(\mu|_{\Omega_2}).$$

We separate the computation of the variation of S_2 into a few lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Given $[\nu] \in \mathfrak{D}_g$, let $\mu \in \Omega^{-1,1}(\Gamma^\nu)$ be such that μ has support on Ω_2. Let $(f^\varepsilon, g_\varepsilon)$ be the univalent functions associated to $\Gamma^\varepsilon = w_{\varepsilon\mu}\circ\Gamma^\nu\circ w_{\varepsilon\mu}^{-1}$. At the point $[\nu]$, the variation of the kernel K_1 in the direction μ is given by*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\Big|_{\varepsilon=0}\mathsf{K}_1^\varepsilon(z,w) = -\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}^*}\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}^*}\mu_2(u)K_2(z,u)K_4(u,\zeta)K_2^*(\zeta,w)d^2ud^2\zeta$$

Proof. See the proof of Lemma 2.7 and Theorem 3.1 in [TT04]. □

Lemma 3.2. *Given $[\nu] \in \mathfrak{D}_g$, let $\mu \in \Omega^{-1,1}(\Gamma^\nu)$ be such that μ has support on Ω_2. Let $(f^\varepsilon, g_\varepsilon)$ and $(\Gamma_1^\varepsilon, \Gamma_2^\varepsilon)$ be the univalent functions and Fuchsian groups associated to $\Gamma^\varepsilon = w_{\varepsilon\mu}\circ\Gamma^\nu\circ w_{\varepsilon\mu}^{-1}$. Then for all $n \geq 1$,*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\Big|_{\varepsilon=0}\iint\limits_{\Gamma_1^\varepsilon\backslash\mathbb{D}}\mathcal{K}_{1,n}^\varepsilon(z,z)d^2z = n\iint\limits_{\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}}\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\Big|_{\varepsilon=0}\mathsf{K}_1^\varepsilon(z,\zeta)\right)\mathcal{K}_{1,n-1}(\zeta,z)d^2\zeta d^2z.$$

Proof. Since $f^\varepsilon = w_\varepsilon\circ f$, the group $\Gamma_1^\varepsilon = (f^\varepsilon)^{-1}\circ\Gamma^\varepsilon\circ f^\varepsilon$ is a constant with respect to ε, i.e. $\Gamma_1^\varepsilon = \Gamma_1^0 = \Gamma_1$. From Lemma 3.1, it is easy to check that for all $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma_1$,

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\Big|_{\varepsilon=0}\mathsf{K}_1^\varepsilon\right)(\gamma_1z,\gamma_1w)\gamma_1'(z)\gamma_1'(w) = \frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\Big|_{\varepsilon=0}\mathsf{K}_1^\varepsilon(z,w).$$

We find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\Big|_{\varepsilon=0}\iint\limits_{\Gamma_1^\varepsilon\backslash\mathbb{D}}\mathcal{K}_{1,n}^\varepsilon(z,z)d^2z\\
=&\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\Big|_{\varepsilon=0}\iint\limits_{\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}}\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}\dots\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}\mathsf{K}_1^\varepsilon(z,\zeta_1)\mathsf{K}_1^\varepsilon(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)\dots\mathsf{K}_1^\varepsilon(\zeta_{n-1},z)d^2\zeta_1\dots d^2\zeta_{n-1}d^2z\\
=&\iint\limits_{\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}}\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}\dots\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\Big|_{\varepsilon=0}\mathsf{K}_1^\varepsilon(z,\zeta_1)\right)\mathsf{K}_1(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)\dots\mathsf{K}_1(\zeta_{n-1},z)d^2\zeta_1\dots d^2\zeta_{n-1}d^2z\\
&+\dots\\
&+\iint\limits_{\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}}\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}\dots\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}\mathsf{K}_1(z,\zeta_1)\mathsf{K}_1(\zeta_1,\zeta_2)\dots\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\Big|_{\varepsilon=0}\mathsf{K}_1^\varepsilon(\zeta_{n-1},z)\right)d^2\zeta_1\dots d^2\zeta_{n-1}d^2z\\
=&n\iint\limits_{\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}}\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\Big|_{\varepsilon=0}\mathsf{K}_1^\varepsilon(z,\zeta)\right)\mathcal{K}_{1,n-1}(\zeta,z)d^2\zeta d^2z.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.3. *Given* $[\nu]\in\mathfrak{D}_g$, *let* $\mu\in\Omega^{-1,1}(\Gamma^\nu)$ *be such that* μ *has support on* Ω_2. *Let* $(f^\varepsilon, g_\varepsilon)$ *and* $(\Gamma_1^\varepsilon,\Gamma_2^\varepsilon)$ *be the univalent functions and Fuchsian groups associated to* $\Gamma^\varepsilon=w_{\varepsilon\mu}\circ\Gamma^\nu\circ w_{\varepsilon\mu}^{-1}$. *Then there exists an* $r>0$ *such that the series*

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\iint\limits_{\Gamma_1^\varepsilon\backslash\mathbb{D}}\mathcal{K}_{1,n}^\varepsilon(z,z)d^2z,$$

converges uniformly to

$$-\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}^*}\iint\limits_{\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}}\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}^*}\mu_2^\varepsilon(u)K_2^\varepsilon(z,u)K_4^\varepsilon(u,\eta)(K_2^\varepsilon)^*(\eta,\zeta)(I-\mathsf{K}_1^\varepsilon)^{-1}(\zeta,z)d^2\eta d^2\zeta d^2z d^2u$$

in the ball $\{\varepsilon\in\mathbb{C}\,:\,|\varepsilon|<r\}$. *Here* μ_2^ε *is the Beltrami differential*

$$g_\varepsilon^*\left(\left(\frac{\mu}{1-|\varepsilon\mu|^2}\frac{(w_{\varepsilon\mu})_z}{\overline{(w_{\varepsilon\mu})_{\bar z}}}\right)\circ(w_{\varepsilon\mu})^{-1}\right).$$

Proof. By shifting the origin of differentiation, it is easy to see from Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\iint\limits_{\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}}\mathcal{K}_{1,n}^\varepsilon(z,z)d^2z\\
=&-n\iint\limits_{\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}}\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}^*}\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}^*}\mu_2^\varepsilon(u)K_2^\varepsilon(z,u)K_4^\varepsilon(u,\eta)(K_2^\varepsilon)^*(\eta,\zeta)\mathcal{K}_{1,n-1}^\varepsilon(\zeta,z)d^2ud^2\eta d^2\zeta d^2z.
\end{aligned}$$

Now using the property of the operators K_l, $l=1,2,3,4$, we find that the ℓ^2–norm of the function

$$u_w^\varepsilon(\zeta)=\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}^*}\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}^*}\mu_2^\varepsilon(u)K_2^\varepsilon(w,u)K_4^\varepsilon(u,\eta)(K_2^\varepsilon)^*(\eta,\zeta)d^2ud^2\eta$$

satisfies the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_w^\varepsilon\|_2^2\leq&\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}^*}\left|\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}^*}\mu_2^\varepsilon(u)K_2^\varepsilon(w,u)K_4^\varepsilon(u,\zeta)d^2u\right|^2d^2\zeta\\ \leq&\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}^*}\left|\mu_2^\varepsilon(\zeta)\right|^2\left|K_2^\varepsilon(w,\zeta)\right|^2d^2\zeta\\ \leq&\|\mu_2^\varepsilon\|_\infty^2\mathsf{K}_2^\varepsilon(w,w)\leq\frac{\|\mu_2^\varepsilon\|_\infty^2}{\pi(1-|w|^2)^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the ℓ^2–norm of the function

$$\begin{aligned}v_{w,n}^\varepsilon(z)=&\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}^*}\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}^*}\mu_2^\varepsilon(u)K_2^\varepsilon(w,u)K_4^\varepsilon(u,\eta)(K_2^\varepsilon)^*(\eta,\zeta)d^2ud^2\eta\mathcal{K}_{1,n-1}^\varepsilon(\zeta,z)d^2\zeta\\ =&\big(((K_1^*K_1)^\varepsilon)^{n-1}u_w^\varepsilon\big)(z)\end{aligned}$$

satisfies

$$\|v_{w,n}^\varepsilon\|_2\leq\|(K_1^*K_1)^\varepsilon\|_\infty^{n-1}\|u_{w,n}^\varepsilon\|_2\leq\frac{\|K_1^\varepsilon\|_\infty^{2n-2}\|\mu_2^\varepsilon\|_\infty}{\sqrt{\pi}(1-|w|^2)}.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.3 in [TT04], we have

$$|v_{w,n}^\varepsilon(z)|\leq\frac{\|v_{w,n}^\varepsilon\|_2}{\sqrt{\pi}(1-|z|^2)}\leq\frac{\|K_1^\varepsilon\|_\infty^{2n-2}\|\mu_2^\varepsilon\|_\infty}{\pi(1-|z|^2)(1-|w|^2)}$$

Choose C_1 and C_2 such that $\|K_1\|_\infty<C_1<1$ and $\|\mu_2\|_\infty<C_2<1$. By the continuity of the map $\hat{\mathscr{P}}:T(1)\to\mathscr{B}(\ell^2)$ proved in the Appendix A of [TT04], the canonical complex analytic embedding $T(\Gamma_1)\to T(1)$ and the smooth dependence of μ on ε, we can find a number $r>0$, such that for all ε in the ball $\{|\varepsilon|<r\}$, we have $\|K_1^\varepsilon\|_\infty<C_1$ and $\|\mu_2^\varepsilon\|_\infty<C_2$. Hence for $|\varepsilon|<r$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\iint\limits_{\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}}\frac{\mathcal{K}_{1,n}(z,z)}{n}d^2z\right|=&\left|\iint\limits_{\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}}v_{z,n}^\varepsilon(z)d^2z\right|\leq\frac{C_1^{2n-2}C_2}{\pi}\iint\limits_{\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}}\frac{d^2z}{(1-|z|^2)^2}\\ =&\frac{C_1^{2n-2}C_2}{4\pi}\text{Area}(\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}).\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by Weierstrass-M-test, the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\iint\limits_{\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}}\mathcal{K}_{1,n}^\varepsilon(z,z)d^2z$$

converges uniformly and absolutely on the set $\{\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}\ ;\ |\varepsilon| < r\}$. The same proof above shows that as a function of z, the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_{z,n}^{\varepsilon}(z)$$

converges uniformly on any compact subset of $\mathbb{D}$; in particular, on a fundamental domain of Γ_1 on $\mathbb{D}$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \iint\limits_{\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}} \frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon} \mathcal{K}_{1,n}^{\varepsilon}(z,z) d^2z = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \iint\limits_{\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}} v_{z,n}^{\varepsilon}(z) d^2z = -\iint\limits_{\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_{z,n}^{\varepsilon}(z) d^2z$$

$$= -\iint\limits_{\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}} \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}} \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}^*} \iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}^*} \mu_2^{\varepsilon}(u) K_2^{\varepsilon}(z,u) K_4^{\varepsilon}(u,\eta)$$

$$(K_2^{\varepsilon})^*(\eta,\zeta) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}_{1,n-1}^{\varepsilon}(\zeta,z) \right) d^2u d^2\eta d^2\zeta d^2z.$$

The conclusion of the lemma then follows from the standard operator theory that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}_{1,n-1}^{\varepsilon}(\zeta,z) = (I - \mathsf{K}_1^{\varepsilon})^{-1}(\zeta,z).$$

□

Now we state a lemma we need from elementary analysis:

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a ball with center at the origin of $\mathbb{C}$ and let $h_n : \mathcal{O} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence of differentiable real-valued functions on $\mathcal{O}$ that converges to the function $h : \mathcal{O} \to \mathbb{R}$. If $\frac{\partial h}{\partial z} : \mathcal{O} \to \mathbb{C}$ converges uniformly to $k : \mathcal{O} \to \mathbb{C}$, then*

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial z} = k.$$

Given $[\mu] \in \mathfrak{D}_g$, let $\vartheta([\mu]) \in \Omega^{2,0}(\Gamma^{\mu})$ be the quadratic differential defined by

$$\vartheta([\mu])(z) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{S}(f^{-1})(z), & \text{if } z \in \Omega_1, \\ \mathcal{S}(g^{-1})(z), & \text{if } z \in \Omega_2. \end{cases}$$

Here

$$\mathcal{S}(h) = \left(\frac{h''}{h'}\right)' - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{h''}{h'}\right)^2$$

is the Schwarzian derivative of the function h. We have

Theorem 3.5. *The real-valued function $S_2 : \mathfrak{D}_g \to \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable function. At the point $[\nu] \in \mathfrak{D}_g$, its variation along the direction $\mu \in$*

$\Omega^{-1,1}(\Gamma^\nu)$ *is given by*

$$\frac{\partial S_2}{\partial \varepsilon_\mu}([\nu]) = -\frac{1}{6\pi}\iint\limits_{\Gamma^\nu\backslash\Omega} \vartheta([\nu])\mu.$$

Proof. First, we assume μ has support on Ω_2. From Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial S_2}{\partial \varepsilon_\mu}[\nu] &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon}\Big|_{\varepsilon=0}\iint\limits_{\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}} \mathfrak{D}_1^\varepsilon(z,z)d^2z\\ &= -\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}^*}\iint\limits_{\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}}\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}^*} \mu_2(u)K_2(z,u)K_4(u,\eta)\\ &\qquad\qquad K_2^*(\eta,\zeta)(I-\mathsf{K}_1)^{-1}(\zeta,z)d^2\eta d^2\zeta d^2z d^2u.\end{aligned}$$

Define $R_2 : \mathbb{D}^*\times\mathbb{D}\to\mathbb{C}$ and $R_2^* : \mathbb{D}\times\mathbb{D}^*\to\mathbb{C}$ be as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [TT04]. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial S_2}{\partial \varepsilon_\mu}[\nu] = -\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}^*}\iint\limits_{\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{D}}\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}^*}\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}^*} &\mu_2(u)K_2(z,u)K_4(u,\eta)\\ &K_2^*(\eta,\zeta)R_2^*(\zeta,v)R_2(v,z)d^2vd^2\eta d^2\zeta d^2zd^2u.\end{aligned}$$

By the transformation property of the functions K_l, $l=1,2,3,4$ with respect to the groups Γ_1 and Γ_2, we can transform the integral into

$$\begin{aligned}-\iint\limits_{\Gamma_2\backslash\mathbb{D}^*}\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}}\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}^*}\iint\limits_{\mathbb{D}^*} &\mu_2(u)K_2(z,u)K_4(u,\eta)\\ &K_2^*(\eta,\zeta)R_2^*(\zeta,v)R_2(v,z)d^2vd^2\eta d^2\zeta d^2zd^2u,\end{aligned}$$

which can be further be manipulated as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [TT04] to get

$$\frac{\partial S_2}{\partial \varepsilon_\mu}([\nu]) = \frac{1}{6\pi}\iint\limits_{\Gamma_2\backslash\mathbb{D}^*} \mathcal{S}(g)\mu_2 = -\frac{1}{6\pi}\iint\limits_{\Gamma^\nu\backslash\Omega_2}\mathcal{S}(g^{-1})\mu.$$

Now if μ has support on Ω_1, we let $\kappa=\iota^*\nu$ and $\eta=\iota^*\mu$. Then $\eta\in\Omega^{-1,1}(\Gamma^\kappa)$ and it has support on $\Omega_2[\kappa]$. By Theorem 2.7 and what we have proved above

$$\frac{\partial S_2}{\partial \varepsilon_\mu}([\nu]) = \frac{\partial S_2}{\partial \varepsilon_\eta}([\kappa]) = -\frac{1}{6\pi}\iint\limits_{\Gamma^\kappa\backslash\Omega_2[\kappa]}\mathcal{S}(g[\kappa]^{-1})\eta = -\frac{1}{6\pi}\iint\limits_{\Gamma^\nu\backslash\Omega_1}\mathcal{S}(f[\nu]^{-1})\mu.$$

Finally, for general $\mu\in\Omega^{-1,1}(\Gamma^\nu)$, we write $\mu=\alpha+\beta$, where α has support on Ω_1 and β has support on Ω_2. Then

$$\frac{\partial S_2}{\partial \varepsilon_\mu}([\nu]) = \frac{\partial S_2}{\partial \varepsilon_\alpha}([\nu]) + \frac{\partial S_2}{\partial \varepsilon_\beta}([\nu]),$$

so the result of the theorem follows. ☐

4. The classical Liouville action

Let Γ' be a normalized cocompact Fuchsian group of genus g realized as a subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ and let $\alpha(z)=(z-i)/(z+i)$ be the linear fractional transformation that maps the upper half plane $\mathbb{U}$ to the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$. The Fuchsian group $\Gamma=\alpha\circ\Gamma'\circ\alpha^{-1}$ is then a subgroup of $\mathrm{PSU}(1,1)$. α induces a complex analytic isomorphism $\mathfrak{G}:\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma')\to\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma)$ by

$$[\mu]\in\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma')\mapsto\mathfrak{G}([\mu])=[(\alpha^{-1})^*\mu].$$

We define the function $\mathsf{S}_2:\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma')\to\mathbb{R}$ by $\mathsf{S}_2=S_2\circ\mathfrak{G}$.

Given $[\nu]\in\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma')$, we let w_ν be the unique q.c. mapping with Beltrami differential ν and fixing the points $0,1,\infty$. Let $\kappa=(\alpha^{-1})^*\nu$, then $w_\nu=\alpha^{-1}\circ w_\kappa\circ\alpha$. Let $J_1=\alpha^{-1}\circ f\circ\alpha$, $J_2=\alpha^{-1}\circ g\circ\alpha$, where (f,g) is the pair of univalent functions associated to $[\kappa]\in\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma)$.

4.1. Relation between classical Liouville action and the function S_2.

Given $[\mu]\in\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma')$, let $\tilde{\vartheta}([\mu])\in\Omega^{2,0}((\Gamma')^\mu)$ be the quadratic differential defined by

$$\tilde{\vartheta}([\mu])(z)=\begin{cases}\mathcal{S}(J_1^{-1})(z), & \text{if } z\in w_\mu(\mathbb{U}),\\ \mathcal{S}(J_2^{-1})(z), & \text{if } z\in w_\mu(\mathbb{L}).\end{cases}$$

Here $\mathbb{L}$ is the lower half plane. If $\kappa=(\alpha^{-1})^*\mu$, then $\vartheta([\kappa])=(\alpha^{-1})^*\tilde{\vartheta}([\mu])$. It follows from Theorem 3.5 that

Theorem 4.1. *Given a subgroup Γ' of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$, which is a normalized cocompact Fuchsian group of genus g, the real-valued function $\mathsf{S}_2:\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma')\to\mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable function. At the point $[\nu]\in\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma')$, its variation along the direction $\mu\in\Omega^{-1,1}((\Gamma')^\nu)$ is given by*

$$\frac{\partial\mathsf{S}_2}{\partial\varepsilon_\mu}([\nu])=-\frac{1}{6\pi}\iint\limits_{(\Gamma')^\nu\backslash\Omega'}\tilde{\vartheta}([\nu])\mu.$$

Here $\Omega'=w_\nu(\mathbb{U})\sqcup w_\nu(\mathbb{L})$ is the set of discontinuity of the group $(\Gamma')^\nu$.

Proof. We let $\kappa=(\alpha^{-1})^*\nu$, $\eta=(\alpha^{-1})^*\mu$. Then by Theorem 3.5,

$$\frac{\partial\mathsf{S}_2}{\partial\varepsilon_\mu}([\nu])=\frac{\partial S_2}{\partial\varepsilon_\eta}([\kappa])=-\frac{1}{6\pi}\iint\limits_{\Gamma^\kappa\backslash\Omega}\vartheta([\kappa])\eta=-\frac{1}{6\pi}\iint\limits_{(\Gamma')^\nu\backslash\Omega'}\tilde{\vartheta}([\nu])\mu.$$

□

In [TT03a], we define the classical Liouville action $S_{cl}:\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma')\to\mathbb{R}$ and prove that $-S_{cl}$ is a Weil-Petersson potential on $\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma')$. Theorem 4.2 in [TT03a] says that

Theorem 4.2. *Let Γ' be as in Theorem 4.1. At the point $[\nu] \in \mathfrak{D}(\Gamma')$, the variation of the function S_{cl} along the direction $\mu \in \Omega^{-1,1}((\Gamma')^{\nu})$ is given by*

$$\frac{\partial S_{cl}}{\partial \varepsilon_{\mu}}([\nu]) = 2 \iint\limits_{(\Gamma')^{\nu}\backslash\Omega'} \tilde{\vartheta}([\nu])\mu.$$

Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 give a relation between S_{cl} and S_2.

Theorem 4.3. *Let Γ' be as in Theorem 4.1. On the deformation space $\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma')$, we have*

$$S_{cl} = -12\pi\mathsf{S}_2 + 8\pi(2g-2).$$

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma')$ is connected, from Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2, we have

$$S_{cl} = -12\pi\mathsf{S}_2 + C,$$

where C is a constant. Now at the origin $[0]$ of $\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma')$, $S_{cl}([0]) = 8\pi(2g-2)$ and $\mathsf{S}_2([0]) = 0$, hence $C = 8\pi(2g-2)$. $\square$

This gives us the following inequality for the classical Liouville action.

Corollary 4.4. *The classical Liouville action $S_{cl} : \mathfrak{D}(\Gamma') \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following inequality*

$$S_{cl} \leq 8\pi(2g-2).$$

It attains its maximum value along the subspace of Fuchsian groups.

Proof. This follows from the theorem above and the nonnegativity of S_2 established in Corollary 2.4. $\square$

Remark 4.5. It follows that the normalized potential $-S_{cl} + 8\pi(2g-2)$ on the quasi-Fuchsian deformation space $\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma')$ is a nonnegative function.

References

[Kir87] A. A. Kirillov, *Kähler structure on the K-orbits of a group of diffeomorphisms of the circle*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **21** (1987), no. 2, 42–45.

[TT03a] Leon A. Takhtajan and Lee-Peng Teo, *Liouville action and Weil-Petersson metric on deformation spaces, global Kleinian reciprocity and holography*, Comm. Math. Phys. **239** (2003), no. 1-2, 183–240.

[TT03b] ______, *Weil-Petersson metric on the universal Teichmüller space I: Curvature properties and Chern forms*, Preprint arXiv: math.CV/0312172 (2003).

[TT04] ______, *Weil-Petersson metric on the universal Teichmüller space II: Kähler potential and period mapping*, Preprint arXiv: math.CV/0406408 (2004).

Faculty of Information Technology, Multimedia University, Jalan Multimedia, Cyberjaya, 63100, Selangor, Malaysia

E-mail address: `lpteo@mmu.edu.my`